

**MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF
THE WESTERN ASSOCIATION OF
AGRICULTURAL EXPERIMENT STATION
DIRECTORS**

**ALASKA
AMERICAN SAMOA
ARIZONA
CALIFORNIA
COLORADO
GUAM
HAWAII
IDAHO
MICRONESIA
MONTANA
NEVADA
NEW MEXICO
NORTHERN MARIANA ISLANDS
OREGON
UTAH
WASHINGTON
WYOMING**



**Image Not
Available**

**WALT DISNEY WORLD DOLPHIN HOTEL
ORLANDO, FL**

NOVEMBER 11, 1995

SUMMARY OF ACTIONS

Adopted the agenda as modified 1

Approved the minutes of the July 16-20, 1995 meeting as circulated 1

Approved the Treasurer's Report 1

The Western IPM review for the 1996 cycle of grant proposals continue in the same manner as in 1995,
i.e. decoupled from WRCC-69 4

Approved four resolutions: 6

Adjourned the meeting 9

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	Call to Order	1
2.0	Introductions and Announcements	1
3.0	Adoption of Agenda	1
4.0	Approval of Minutes of 7/16-20/95 Meeting	1
5.0	Chair/Executive Committee Report	1
6.0	Treasurer's Report	1
7.0	CSREES Report	2
8.0	ARS Report	3
9.0	IPM Report	3
10.0	Committee of Nine/Regional Research Report and Discussion	4
11.0	GPRA Discussion	5
12.0	Futuring Activity-Regional Listening Session	5
13.0	Executive Director Report	5
14.0	Future Meetings	5
14.1	Spring 1996 Meeting Plans	5
14.2	Summer 1996 Meeting Plans	6
15.0	Other Business and Open Discussion	6
15.1	Appointments to WDA and ESCOP	6
15.2	Legislative Actions	6
16.0	Resolutions	6
17.0	Changing of the Guard	9
18.0	Adjournment	9

INDEX OF APPENDICES

Appendix A	Agenda	10
Appendix B	Treasurer's Report	11
Appendix C	IPM Report	13
Appendix D	Western Regional appointees to the GPRA Issue Teams	14
Appendix E	Executive Director Report	15

WESTERN ASSOCIATION OF AGRICULTURAL EXPERIMENT STATION DIRECTORS
Walt Disney World Dolphin Hotel
Orlando, FL
November 11, 1995

Minutes

ATTENDANCE:

CALIFORNIA	Henry J. Vaux	UTAH	H. Paul Rasmussen
COLORADO	Charles W. Laughlin	WASHINGTON	James R. Carlson
GUAM	Jeff Barcinas	WYOMING	Steve Horn
HAWAII	Harry Y. Yamamoto	AGRI. RES. SERV.	Chuck Onstad
IDAHO	Richard Heimsch	CSREES	Colien Hefferan
MONTANA	Thomas J. McCoy		E. M. (Ted) Wilson
NEVADA	Ronald S. Pardini	Univ. Melbourne,	
NEW MEXICO	Gary Cunningham	Australia	Lindsay Falvey
OREGON	L. J. (Kelvin) Koong	EXECUTIVE DIR.	Robert D. Heil
	Sandra Helmick	OFFICE-EXEC. DIR.	Harriet Sykes

1.0 Call to Order

The meeting was called to order by Chair Cunningham.

2.0 Introductions and Announcements

The attendees introduced themselves. Laughlin introduced Lindsay Falvey from the University of Melbourne-Australia.

3.0 Adoption of Agenda

The motion was made and seconded *to adopt the agenda as modified. MOTION CARRIED*
The agenda is attached as Appendix A, p. 10.

4.0 Approval of Minutes of 7/16-20/95 Meeting

The motion was made and seconded *to approve the minutes of the July 16-20, 1995 meeting as circulated. MOTION CARRIED.*

5.0 Chair/Executive Committee Report

Cunningham reported that items requiring comment from the Executive Committee meeting would be discussed as the topic appears in the agenda.

6.0 Treasurer's Report

The motion was made and seconded *to approve the Treasurer's Report. MOTION CARRIED.* The Treasurer's Report is attached as Appendix B, pp. 11-12.

7.0 CSREES Report

Hefferan reported on some general operating procedures for CSREES. One of the issues being addressed is partnerships and selection of a permanent Deputy Administrator for Partnerships. A possibility might be a university faculty member serving in that role on a rotating basis. In the interim, George Cooper is serving as the Deputy Administrator who is working with Partnerships, with GPRA, and other activities.

The USDA is on a hiatus on Advisory Committees pending the recommendation in the 1995 Farm Bill from the Department to have one central advisory committee. Technically, the Committee of Nine is considered an advisory committee that uses resources from the Federal Advisory committee act to support it. Actually, the Committee of Nine is authorized under the 1955 amendment to the Hatch Act and there is a continuing need for this committee regardless of what actions Congress takes on the 1995 Farm Bill. It is possible that other resources, other than Federal Advisory Committee, could be used to support activities of the Committee of Nine.

As an agency, CSREES has been looking at places where the management programs can be moved to a consolidated basis, where there are similar subjects and programs that are operating out of pools of resources for Extension and Research. CSREES is looking at the more generic issue of how to: improve the coordination of programs where there are common objectives; streamline the paperwork and not impose on the universities a federal structure; determine the flow of resources to the campuses and retain the autonomy of the university system.

CSREES is currently a very active place with many issues going on, including looking at improving practices to add value to the dollars that Congress appropriates to universities and do it in a way that really adds value to citizens and campuses; and assessment of the CRIS system to evaluate whether a consolidated reporting system across research and extension can be developed.

Wilson commented that Congress had approved an Agriculture Bill. There are some reductions in line items, but it could have been much worse.

Partnerships need to be clarified. The partnership unit as we see it is a unit that will enter in discussions of topics that are cross-cutting among all of the eight units in CSREES. Specifically, the Partnership Office is expected to give leadership to the national strategic planning processes. That division is also expected to work on the budget planning. The unit, through the Committee of Nine, gives leadership to regional research. It also gives leadership to the GPRA and any accountability processes that cut across all of the regions. It will also continue to give leadership to legislative affairs.

Another issue that is of importance is the whole farm and ranch planning process. Much of the leadership for that initiative is coming from the NRCS. However, CSREES needs to be involved in that process if it is to be successful. The idea behind that initiative came out of the National

Planning Review Process that Vice President Gore gave leadership to. The purpose is to reduce the paperwork that farmers have to do because there are several different programs that are beneficial to farmers that require a tremendous amount of paperwork. The idea is to have a process that would have a single farm plan that would meet all of the regulations for EPA and NRCS. Extension should have an important role to play in that process.

CSREES has been expected to do brokering on behalf of the Experiment Stations. They currently are working with other federal agencies. A memorandum of understanding has been developed with the DOE to use their expertise that can be applied to the issues that are faced in agriculture.

The IPM program is going well. However, it did not get the level of funding requested. A budget of \$2 million was approved for a new program to address alternatives for pesticides that may be taken off the market. It will be a competitive grants program. A new IPA to lead the IPM program will be needed in the next few months.

8.0 ARS Report

Onstad reported that Floyd Horn has been named as Administrator of ARS.

The 1996 ARS budget has a \$3 million decrease. There are some program redirections that amount to approximately \$10 million. There were 12 locations on a list to be closed. All of the locations were saved in some way. Four of the locations were kept intact and eight were saved as work sites. They disappear from the list of ARS locations, but are operated with administrative savings under another facility.

The ARS Strategic Planning Process is being developed under the umbrella of the REE Plan. That plan is very general, and specifies operational linkages among agencies - not program linkages. It defines complementary roles among agencies of the REE. There is emphasis on values and principles, etc. The plan will use the results of the five Visioning Conferences that were held in June and July. A draft statement of the Strategic Plan is expected to be ready by March 31, 1996. This plan will be linked to the ARS Implementation Plan which does have performance measures, outcomes, and goals.

9.0 IPM Report

McCoy presented the IPM Report, attached as Appendix C, p. 13

A committee (McCoy, Jacobs, Laughlin, Lund) appointed in July 1995 was to evaluate the Western Regional IPM Program. A variety of items were discussed via conference calls.

McCoy commented that Gary McIntyre, Western IPM Coordinator, plans to retire in 1997 so there will be a need for a new coordinator. Options are: (1) solicit proposals from potential host institutions similar to the method that was used for SARE; (2) consider whether Utah State University would be interested in combining coordination of SARE and IPM; (3) identify an individual within the existing IPM cadre of faculty who would be interested.

The subcommittee discussed the recurring theme of past concerns regarding WRCC-69 and the Western Region IPM Grants Program. The subcommittee recommends that when WRCC-69 submits a petition for renewal, the IPM program and WRCC-69 are uncoupled. It is not appropriate for WRCC-69 to serve as a grant proposal review team. The committee also recommends that WRCC-69 revise its objectives to fit those expected of a coordinating committee and not a grant review team.

Given changes in the national IPM program, regional funds, etc., and with the combination of Extension and Research dollars, the subcommittee feels that it is an good time to identify the most appropriate review process for the IPM grants. The subcommittee would like input on whether the same review team should review both research and implementation grants, whether producers should be included as members of the review teams in a more formal fashion, and how to select review team members.

Wilson counseled the Western Directors to consider the administrative costs for the grant reviews.

The motion was made and seconded *that the Western IPM review for the 1996 cycle of grant proposals continue in the same manner as in 1995, i.e. decoupled from WRCC-69. MOTION CARRIED.*

A further recommendation was made to pursue combining the Western SARE and the Western IPM programs under one coordinator. Rasmussen will inquire about the feasibility of combining both coordinator positions at Utah State University.

10.0 Committee of Nine/Regional Research Report and Discussion

Heimsch reported that the Committee of Nine had been introduced to George Cooper at the September meeting.

Changes in policies of the Committee of Nine are: for the one step review of regional project outlines, a consolidated Form 89 is sent to each member of the Committee of Nine (the consolidated Form 89 for each project is written by the Chair of each regional research committee); and each member of the Committee of Nine has the option of requesting to see the full outline.

The Committee of Nine recommends that the system have another national meeting that would involve Committee of Nine members, the Executive Directors of the four regions, and the regional research chairs from the four regions to continue the discussion of needs and changes that may need to be made in the regional research program. A subcommittee was established to work on the potential workshop that was to occur January 30-31, 1996 in Kansas City, MO.

Several major issues need collective regional research wisdom to move further ahead: (1) simplifying and standardizing the language and the kinds of committees that each region has; (2) how to incorporate Extension into the regional research program; (3) how to improve submission of reports on regional research projects.

Electronic acknowledgment by the Regional Research Office could be used for tracking submitted documents.

The Committee of Nine may develop a model with common taxonomy to be discussed by each regional association

11.0 GPRA Discussion

The list of Western Regional appointees to the GPRA Issue Teams is attached as Appendix D, p. 14

Cunningham reported that teams had been appointed to develop goals and objectives and measures of outcomes dealing with particular areas. There was to be a council to oversee the activities of the teams and to coordinate them so that it came out as a single poll. The council has met once and has a large number of members (four members of ESCOP - each of the regional Chairs, four from ECOP, four from ACOP, one from ICOP, one from NAPFSC, one from Veterinary Medicine, etc.) for approximately 30 people.

There is a short time frame in terms of what has to happen. The first USDA draft plan, of which the CSREES plan is a part, has to be into OMB by March 15, 1996. A draft plan for CSREES will need to be completed by late January or early February so that it can be circulated and approved. The teams needed to be appointed quickly. The teams are going to meet with the council on December 5-7. The five team areas are the 1997 Joint Council Goals. The mission of the teams is to come up with specific outcomes under each of the goals and some outcomes that might be measured, and some metrics that might be used to assess progress towards the goals.

12.0 Futuring Activity-Regional Listening Session

Cunningham reported on plans for the National Futuring Conference as a follow up to the National Scoping Conference. Plans for the regional listening session will be done in conjunction with the facilitators, who are going to select a location that is optimal. The WDA is to make recommendations for participants that needs to be coordinated with the Western Extension Directors.

The Executive Committee recommends that each of the Directors is to work with their Extension Director to come up with a list of possible participants. These recommendations are to be submitted to a subcommittee (Laughlin, Pardini and Vaux) who are to work with their Extension Directors.

Possible locations are Las Vegas or Reno, as they are easily accessible and reasonable in cost.

13.0 Executive Director Report

The Executive Director Report is attached as Appendix E, p. 15.

14.0 Future Meetings

14.1 Spring 1996 Meeting Plans

The 1996 Spring Meeting will be held in Las Cruces, NM on March 13-15. RIC will meet March 13 and the Western directors will meet March 14-15.

14.2 Summer 1996 Meeting Plans

The 1996 Joint Summer Meetings will be held in Tucson, AZ on July 14-17.

15.0 Other Business and Open Discussion

15.1 Appointments to WDA and ESCOP

Due to a request from G. A. Mitchell (AK) to be relieved as 1996 WDA Chair, the Executive Committee presented the following as a motion: change in 1996 WDA Officers: Chair - C. W. Laughlin and Chair-elect - R. S. Pardini

MOTION CARRIED.

The Executive Committee made the following ESCOP Appointments:

H. Vaux (CA-S) – Environmental Affairs
 J. J. Jacobs (WY) – FY1999 Budget Development Subcommittee
 G. L. Cunningham (NM) – Legislative Subcommittee
 H. G. Vest (UT) – Leadership Development Subcommittee
 L. W. Moore (OR) – Germplasm Subcommittee
 T. J. McCoy (MT) – Pest Management Strategies Subcommittee

15.2 Legislative Actions

Rasmussen reported that he had evaluated the number of negative votes and affiliated states of Western Congressional representatives on the agriculture budget before it passed and went to the President for signature.

Rasmussen reported that the Section and CARET meetings had discussed ways to get information to people. One way is by using a CD ROM that has information pertinent to agriculture. Forty states are using some kind of a database generation to provide information. The fact that we're on the World Wide Web may be good, but we have to recognize that approximately 60-70 percent of customers can't use the World Wide Web, 50 percent can't use CD ROMs, and some can't even use a floppy disk. He plans to distribute copies of a threefold brochure that talks about the benefits and value of agriculture to the American people. Also, floppy disks and CD ROMs can be handed out with 100

years of information on weather and lesson plans for fourth graders to learn about weather, science and agriculture.

Rasmussen has two items for ESCOP during the next year. One is building strong partnerships and the other is communication. There are ways to influence people. Personal visits to our Congressional representatives are helpful. There is a need to get legislators on campus, especially the new legislators.

16.0 Resolutions

The motion was made, seconded and *UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED to approve the following resolutions:*

Resolution #1

WHEREAS, Dr. William D. Carlson served in a distinguished and committed manner as Administrator of the Office of Grants and Program Systems of CSRS/USDA, and

WHEREAS, Dr. Carlson was recognized as having excellent leadership qualities and was asked to serve as Acting Administrator of the newly formed USDA/Cooperative State Research, Education and Extension Service, and

WHEREAS, Dr. Carlson provided positive leadership in implementing the Cooperative State Research, Education and Extension Service, and

WHEREAS, Dr. Carlson retired from this role in October, 1995, therefore be it

RESOLVED, that the members of the Western Association of Agricultural Experiment Station Directors at their meeting in Orlando, Florida on November 11, 1995, express their sincere and heart-felt appreciation to Dr. William D. Carlson as a colleague and a friend for his distinguished service to the nation and society, to agriculture in its broadest sense, and to the State Agricultural Experiment Station Directors, and be it further

RESOLVED, that the WAAESD extends best wishes and good health to Dr. Carlson as he moves to new challenges and opportunities, and be it further

RESOLVED, that the original of this resolution be sent to Dr. Carlson with copies provided to Honorable Mike Stauber, Under-Secretary for Research, Education and Economics, USDA with a copy made a part of the minutes of this meeting.

Resolution #2

WHEREAS, Dr. Walter Woods served in a distinguished and committed manner as Assistant Administrator of the Cooperative States Regional Program/USDA, and

WHEREAS, Dr. Woods, in that role, made significant contributions toward improvement of the Regional Research Program, and

WHEREAS, Dr. Woods provided excellent leadership in his responsibilities as Deputy Administrator of “Partnership” programs of the newly created Cooperative State Research, Education and Extension Service, USDA, and

WHEREAS, Dr. Woods extended excellent cooperation and help to the Western Association of Agricultural Experiment Station Directors, therefore be it

RESOLVED, that the members of the Western Association of Agricultural Experiment Station Directors at their meeting in Orlando, Florida on November 11, 1995, express their sincere and heart-felt appreciation to Dr. Walter Woods as a colleague and a friend for his distinguished service to the nation and society, to agriculture in its broadest sense, to the State Agricultural Experimental Station System, and for the Western Association of Agricultural Experiment Station Directors, and be it further

RESOLVED, that the WAAESD extends best wishes and good health to Dr. Woods as he moves to new challenges and opportunities, and be it further

RESOLVED, that the original of this resolution be sent to Dr. Woods with copies provided to Dr. Colien Hefferan, Acting Administrator, CSREES/USDA, with a copy made part of the minutes of this meeting.

Resolution #3

WHEREAS Chin T. Lee has retired as Dean of the College of Agriculture and Life Sciences at the University of Guam after 21 years of service, and

WHEREAS he has served as a member of the Western Association of Agricultural Experiment Station Directors for six years, and

WHEREAS he performed his duties and responsibilities with dedication and distinction, particularly in hosting the Spring Meeting of the Association in 1990 and serving on the Executive Committee, and

WHEREAS his counsel, wisdom and friendship has been greatly appreciated, therefore be it

RESOLVED that the Western Association of Agricultural Experiment Station Directors congratulate Dr. Chin T. Lee on his retirement and thank him for his support and many contributions to the success of the organization, and be it further

RESOLVED that the original of this resolution be send to Dr. Lee with a copy made part of the minutes of this meeting.

Resolution #4

WHEREAS Dr. Rangiswamy Muniappan has served the Western Association of Agricultural Experiment Station Directors representing the University of Guam College of Agriculture and Life Sciences, and

WHEREAS Dr. Muniappan has brought leadership and expertise to the Association, and

WHEREAS Dr. Muniappan has distinguished himself and Guam through his accomplishments as a faculty member and Associate Director of his Agricultural Experiment Station, and

WHEREAS Dr. Muniappan retired in September of this year, therefore be it

RESOLVED that the members of the Western Association of Agricultural Experiment Station Directors at their meeting in Orlando, Florida express their appreciation to Dr. Rangiswamy Muniappan for his distinguished service to agriculture and to the Western Association of Agricultural Experiment Station Directors, and be it further

RESOLVED that the original of this resolution be sent to Dr. Muniappan with a copy made part of the minutes of this meeting.

17.0 Changing of the Guard

Cunningham turned the gavel and responsibilities of Chair of the Western Directors Association over to Laughlin.

18.0 Adjournment

The motion was made, seconded and UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED to adjourn the meeting.

WESTERN ASSOCIATION OF AGRICULTURAL EXPERIMENT STATION DIRECTORS
WALT DISNEY WORLD HOTEL
LAKE BUENA VISTA, FL
Saturday, November 11, 1995
1:45 - 6:00 p.m.

1:45 - 2:45 p.m. Executive Committee Meeting

3:00	1.0	Call to Order	G. Cunningham
	2.0	Introductions and Announcements	G. Cunningham
	3.0	Adoption of Agenda	G. Cunningham
	4.0	Approval of Minutes of 7/16-20/95 Meeting	G. Cunningham
3:10	5.0	Chair/Executive Committee Report	G. Cunningham
3:20	6.0	Treasurer's Report	T. J. McCoy
3:30	7.0	CSREES Report	C. Hefferan
3:45	8.0	ARS Report	D. Murrell
4:00	9.0	IPM Report	T. J. McCoy
4:10	10.0	Committee of Nine/Regional Research Report and Discussion	R. C. Heimsch
4:40	11.0	GPRA Discussion	G. Cunningham
4:50	12.0	Futuring Activity-Regional Listening Session	G. Cunningham
5:00	13.0	Executive Director Report	R. D. Heil
5:15	14.0	Future Meetings	
	14.1	Spring 1996 Meeting Plans	G. Cunningham
	14.2	Summer 1996 Meeting Plans	C. C. Kaltenbach
5:30	15.0	Other Business and Open Discussion	
5:45	16.0	Resolutions	
5:55	17.0	Changing of the Guard	
6:00	18.0	Adjournment	

11
APPENDIX B

WESTERN DIRECTOR AT LARGE ACCOUNT
FINANCIAL REPORT

FY 1996

07-Nov-95

A S S E S S M E N T S

Item	Assessment	Payment	Balance due
AM.SAMOA	600.00		600.00
MICRONESIA	600.00		600.00
NORTHERN MARIANAS	* 1,200.00		1,200.00
ALASKA	7,150.86	7,150.86	0.00
ARIZONA	12,761.71	12,761.71	0.00
CALIFORNIA	19,812.07	19,812.07	0.00
COLORADO	14,315.74	14,315.74	0.00
GUAM	6,962.75	6,962.75	0.00
HAWAII	9,351.03	9,351.03	0.00
IDAHO	11,297.65	11,297.65	0.00
MONTANA	11,951.99	11,951.99	0.00
NEVADA	9,187.47	9,187.47	0.00
NEW MEXICO	9,522.81		9,522.81
OREGON	14,495.69	14,495.69	0.00
UTAH	12,148.27	12,148.27	0.00
WASHINGTON	13,906.78	13,906.78	0.00
WYOMING	10,716.95	10,716.95	0.00
SUB TOTAL	165,981.77	154,058.95	
COLORADO RENT	(7,800.00)	(7,800.00)	
Total	\$ 158,181.77	\$ 146,258.95	\$ 11,922.81

Actual payment was \$6,516.74 (rent deducted)

I N C O M E A N D E X P E N S E S

Date	Transaction	Income	Expense	Balance
07/01/95	June 30, 1995 Balance	\$	\$	\$ 14,272.24
YTD	FY 1996 Assessments Received	146,258.95		160,531.19
07/01/95	Montana AES for accounting expenses		1,500.00	159,031.19
08/07/95	Washington State-SumConf dollars		6,157.30	152,873.89
10/02/95	Transfer of funds to CSU-Oct-Dec 1995		14,500.00	138,373.89
10/25/95	U of WY-Heil salary & benefits-July-Sept,1995		29,686.86	108,687.03
08/31/95	July Interest	387.69		109,074.72
09/17/95	August Interest	399.96		109,474.68
10/10/95	September Interest	385.85		109,860.53
Total		\$ 147,432.45	\$ 51,844.16	\$ 109,860.53

*Assessment is for FY1995 and FY1996

**WESTERN DIRECTORS' SPECIAL ACCOUNT
FINANCIAL STATEMENT
FY1996**

A S S E S S M E N T S

07-Nov-95

Item	Assessment	Payment	Balance Due
ALASKA	\$ 382.94	\$ 382.94	\$ 0.00
ARIZONA	684.30	684.30	0.00
CALIFORNIA	1,047.35	1,047.35	0.00
COLORADO	577.26	577.26	0.00
GUAM	280.76	280.76	0.00
HAWAII	501.12	501.12	0.00
IDAHO	455.56	455.56	0.00
MONTANA	481.95	481.95	0.00
NEVADA	370.47	370.47	0.00
NEW MEXICO	383.99		383.99
OREGON	584.52	584.52	0.00
UTAH	489.86	489.86	0.00
WASHINGTON	560.77	560.77	0.00
WYOMING	432.14	432.14	0.00
Total	\$ 7,232.99	\$ 6,849.00	\$ 383.99

I N C O M E A N D E X P E N S E S

Date	Transaction	Income	Expense	Balance
07/01/95	June 30, 1995 Balance	\$	\$	\$ 25,403.81
YTD	FY1996 Assessments Received	6,849.00		32,252.81
08/07/95	Dutson-ESCOP meeting Wisconsin		1,229.01	31,023.81
08/11/95	Rasmussen-ESCOP meeting Wisconsin		1155.21	29,868.60
08/24/95	Rasmussen-ESCOP meeting Texas		885.54	28,983.06
08/31/95	July Interest	148.03		29,131.09
09/17/95	August Interest	152.71		29,283.80
10/10/95	September Interest	147.32		29,431.12
Total		\$ 7,297.06	\$ 3,269.76	\$ 29,431.12



**College of Agriculture
Agricultural Experiment Station**

Office of the Dean and Director

Room 202 Linfield Hall, Bozeman, MT 59717-0286
406-994-3681 FAX: 406-994-6579
email: AAXSM@MSU.OSCS.MONTANA.EDU

REPORT OF WAAESD IPM SUBCOMMITTEE

The subcommittee on IPM consisting of Jacobs (WY), Laughlin (CO), Lund (CA), and McCoy (MT) discussed the Western Regional IPM program during two conference calls.

We provided input to the RFP Proposal Writing Committee regarding Western Regional IPM Grants Awards. This year, there will be a research program with approximately \$600,000 available and an IPM Implementation Program with approximately \$300,000 in funding. The implementation program is being equally funded by Regional AES funds, Extension funds, and EPA funds through an ACE II program.

The subcommittee would like the WAAESD to take action on three items:

1. Approval of a plan for selection of a Western Regional IPM Coordinator. Gary McIntyre plans to retire in 1997, and therefore, there will be a need for a new coordinator. Potential options include:
 - a. Solicit proposals from potential host institutions similar to the method used for selecting the Western Regional SARE Coordinator.
 - b. Consider whether Utah State University would be interested in combining Western Regional SARE and IPM under one coordinator. (We are not trying to be presumptuous, and we recognize Utah State may not be interested).
 - c. Identifying an individual within the existing IPM cadre of faculty who would be interested.
 - d. other
2. The IPM subcommittee discussed past concerns regarding WRCC-69 and the Western Regional IPM Grants Program. We recommend that when WRCC-69 petitions for renewal in 1996 that the IPM Grants Program and WRCC-69 be uncoupled. We feel it is not appropriate for WRCC-69 to serve as a grant proposal review team. The WAAESD should request that WRCC-69 revise their committee's objectives to fit those expected of a WRCC and not a grant review team.
3. The WAAESD need to identify the most appropriate review process for Western Regional IPM Grants. Questions for discussion include:
 - a. Should the same review team review both research and implementation grants?
 - b. Should producers be included as members of the review team(s)?
 - c. Should review team members be selected based on the proportion of a given discipline represented by the pool of grant applicants?
 - d. other

14
APPENDIX D

Cooper-CSREES, 02:25 PM 10/30/95, Western Region Appointments to

To: Cooper-CSREES
From: "Robert D. Heil" <wdal@lamar.colostate.edu>
Subject: Western Region Appointments to GPRA Issue Teams
Cc: Cunningham_G
Bcc:
X-Attachments:

George-Gary Cunningham, Chair of the Western Association of Agricultural Experiments Station Directors and regional representative to the GPRA Council has asked that I provide you with the following names of people to serve on the GPRA Issue Teams.

Dr. Jim Jacobs, Assoc. Director. Agricultural Experiment Station, Univ. of Wyoming, Laramie, Wyoming

Attain Sustainable production systems and ensure their compatibility with environmental and social issues.

Dr. Thayne Dutsonm, Dean and Director, College of Agriculture, Oregon State University, Corvallis, Oregon.

-Provide a safe, affordable, reliable, and nutritious food supply.
(Per our conversation last Friday, Thayne has offered to share Oregon's experiences with "Oregon Invests" with the entire group if you would like. But he, or someone else from Oregon will serve on this team in addition to whatever other role you would like to have them play. Thayne should be your contact as you prepare the information.)

Dr. Vicki McCracken, Assoc. Dir., Agricultural Experiment Station, Washington State University, Pullman, Washington.

Enable scientists and other professionals to meet future challenges.

Dr. Ron Pardini, Assoc. Dir. Nevada Agricultural Experiment Station, University of Nevada, Reno

Improve U.S. food forestry, and natural resource competitiveness.

Dr. Helen McHugh, Deputy Dir. Colorado Agricultural Experiment Station, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado.

Help individuals, families, and communities to improve their lives.

If you have any questions, please advise.

WAAESD
EXECUTIVE DIRECTORS REPORT
for period
July-November, 1995

1. A www page for WAAESD has been created (<http://www.colostate.edu/Outreach/outreach.html>) and the following information is on initially:
 - Description of the Western Region-general
 - WAAESD logo
 - Directory of member states
 - List of all Western Regional Rresearch Projects and WRCC's and their related objectives
 - WAAESD research priorities keyed to the ESCOP initiatives
 - Objectives of all ESCOP research initiatives

We would like to request your input relative to other kinds of information you would like to see put on the web. A first goal is to make this available to all Chairs of regional projects and coordinating committees.

2. ESCOP Activities:

- ESCOP FY 96 Budget Strategies Committee
- ESCOP FY 97 Budget Development Committee
- ESCOP-ACOP Leadership Development Committee
- ESCOP Liaison to FAEIS
- ESCOP Strategic Planning Subcommittee
- ESCOP Chair Advisory Committee
- ESCOP Liaison to ACOP

3. Other Activities

- SARE Administrative Council Retreat-concluded my two year role as Chair of the Council
- ARI-Board of Directors
- Covey Leadership Workshop-attended a three day workshop at Utah State University per invitation from Paul Rasmussen. This workshop provided participants with a very unique approach to not only leadership development, but also personal growth and development. I sincerely appreciated the opportunity to participate with the Utah State College of Agriculture Administrative group in their effort to utilize the Covey approach in developing long term plans for the College. It was a great experience and I would strongly recommend others to consider utilizing these folks in planning efforts.
- FY 96 Board on Agriculture Budget Committee
- PBAG Administrative Council
- NSAAC-National Sustainable Agriculture Advisory Committee

4. Travel

- ESCOP/ECOP Joint meeting-Spring Creek, Wisconsin. July 21-26
- ESCOP Strategic Planning Subcommittee-Dallas, Texas
- SARE Administrative Council Retreat-Snowbird, Utah. August 20-24
- ARI-annual meeting. Bethesda, Md. September 10-13
- ESCOP/ACOP Leadership Development Workshop, Indianapolis, In. September 15-19
- Covey Leadership Workshop, Utah State University. September 20-22
- FY 97 Board on Agriculture Budget Committee, Wash., D.C. October 31-November 1

5. Other Items

- AA Training-Please identify individuals you would like invited to the Spring RIG meeting.

